

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/079,609	KOCHANEK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Whiteman	1635

All Participants:

Status of Application: Final Rejection

(1) Brian Whiteman.

(3) _____.

(2) Karen Ebling.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 October 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative about the request to consider the IDS filed on 10/16/02. The examiner notified applicant's representative that there was not IDS filed on 10/16/02 but there was an IDS filed on 10/21/02. The applicant's representative confirmed that the IDS filed on 10/21/02 is the IDS that applicant wanted the examiner to consider..